Name:

Question 1 (2 pts). Mark each statement true or false, and give a brief justification of your answer.

(a) If $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ are similar, then det(A) = det(B).

True, because $det(B) = det(QAQ^{-1}) = det(Q) det(A) det(Q)^{-1} = det(A)$.

(b) If $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ is a matrix and $k \in F$ is a scalar, then det(kA) = k det(A).

False; what's true is that $det(kA) = k^n det(A)$.

Question 2 (4 pts). Compute the determinant of the following matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

There are many ways to compute the determinant, but here's one. Recall that type 3 row operations do not change the determinant. Subtracting the first row from the third, and then adding the second row to the third, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last matrix is upper triangular, so its determinant (which is equal to the determinant of our original matrix) is the product of the diagonal entries, which is 6.

Question 3 (4 pts). Prove that if $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ with *n* odd and det(-A) = det(A), then *A* is not invertible.

Note that $-A = -I \cdot A$ and det $(-I) = (-1)^n = -1$, because -I is diagonal (in particular, upper triangular) with diagonal entries *n* copies of -1, and the product of these is -1 because *n* is odd. Now using multiplicaticity of the determinant, we get

$$\det(-A) = \det(-I \cdot A) = \det(-I) \det(-A) = -\det(A).$$

But we're told that det(-A) = det(A), so det(A) = -det(A), which implies det(A) = 0, and this is equivalent to A not being invertible.